

OPERATIONS TEAM MEETING NOTES

AT: CDF CONFERENCE ROOM, R.O. VI

January 17-18, 1980

I. ATTENDANCE

<u>CDF:</u>	M. Schori J. Springer	<u>U.S.F.S:</u>	R. Millar R. Irwin A. Masoner
<u>L.A. CITY:</u>	F. Bordon	<u>PSW:</u>	C. Philpot
<u>L.A. COUNTY:</u>	R. King	<u>SANTA BARBARA CO.:</u>	Absent
<u>O.E.S.:</u>	R. Barrows M. Scherr R. Land		
<u>VENTURA CO.:</u>	M. Sellers G. Lund		

II. PROGRAM OFFICE UPDATE

1. NWCG Briefing--Bob Irwin discussed the trip to Washington, D.C. Chiefs Bragdon and Springer went to the meeting. NWCG is working toward national adoption of the I.C.S.
2. Matching funds--Irwin explained the process of FIRESCOPE funding and how the "matching funding language" got into our appropriation. Bob reviewed the information that the Program Office sent to Washington Office (refer to memo dated January 15, 1980). Irwin will clarify the contribution of the Forest Service (other than Program budget) to the Program in a cover memo to Board members.
3. FY 81 preliminary budget--Irwin explained why the preliminary 81 budget level was submitted at \$2.9 million and not at \$3.7 million as originally planned. This topic needs further discussion at the Board level.

III. TRAINING

Areas of responsibility (current opinion).

Program Office--fund training development

Partner Agencies--support training development

--do partner and "close neighbor" training

O.E.S./State Fire Training--train other agencies

A proposal will be made to the Board asking for endorsement of this division of responsibilities.

IV. REVIEW OF ACTION ITEMS OF LAST MEETING

1. Orange County I.C.S. System--Orange County has been invited to cooperate and meet with our training committee. Some items and differences between Orange County and FIRESCOPE Program I.C.S. were "ironed out" at a meeting in January. Operations Team approved invitation of Orange County into training committee.
2. Elk Grove meeting cancelled--may change "test location." This is in a holding stage.
3. Incident analysis--done.
4. Communication design--will meet with Communications Group on January 23, 1980. No progress to date.
5. General familiarization and Strike Team leader training--Task Force to get report next Monday--also Program Office will get report on January 21, 1980.
6. MACS functions--fire analysis plus Program Office letter should provide direction.
7. Executive Summary of Communications recommendations done and sent to Board of Directors members.
8. Letters of commendation to Communications Group sent out.
9. Decision process--done.

V. MACS FACILITY LOCATION

The Program Office will prepare an analysis that displays the alternatives, with "pros and cons" concerning the future location of an OCC. Rough copies of the analysis will be sent to Operations Team members. A final version will be prepared for the next Operations Team meeting.

VI. DECISION PROCESS

R. Land reviewed the revisions to the process. Roger will incorporate the comments into a second draft and send to members for review. A final review and recommendation will be made at next meeting.

VII. FRESNO SESSION ON FIRESCOPE

Discussion about how we meet training requests such as the Fresno session. Motion made by D. Barrows, seconded by M. Schori, and unanimously agreed to that all training provided to other agencies should be coordinated through the Program Office and paid for by the partner agencies.

VIII. RESTAT INVENTORY

Some concerns about how this inventory is being done. O.E.S. will send out a letter that will clarify the reasons for the inventory.

IX. SEPTEMBER FIRE ANALYSIS

M. Scherr's product was handed out for review. On memo going back to respondents--need to identify that this is a problem definition analysis--and there was a lot of good items commented about.

Task Assignments: Approved with strong emphasis on the need to strengthen training. The Task Force will prioritize the tasks and submit to Operations Team for approval.

X. TASK FORCE REPORT

Task Force representation/participation is a problem. It is critical that MACS functions development be done by all of the partner agencies involved.

Los Angeles County will have a new Task Force member assigned by January 25, 1980.

Concerns expressed about lack of participation from Santa Barbara County at Task Force and Operations Team meetings. A motion was made and seconded, with unanimous approval that Program Manager Irwin meet with Chief Patterson to discuss the group's concerns, and to explain alternative solutions to the problem.

XI. NEXT MEETING

Date: February 19-20, 1980

Time: Start at 1000 on February 19
End at 1600 on February 20

Location: Los Angeles County Camp II

Agenda Items: Major topic to be training. We will send you a list of hotels-motels in the area.

Bob Irwin

Robert L. Irwin
Program Manager